Preserve

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1932. (Miscl)

File No. 224-32

Serial Nos. 1 4

Later References

Rev. 348-40-1.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 4.

Part B for November 33

SUBJECT.

Appointment of a competent person to officiate as pujari and carry the Karaga of Sri Dharmaraya Swami Temple at/or Halsoorpet Bangalore City

---

To the Rev Comr

" Commissioner

Rev 613 4 q. 6-11-33.

Approves of the ~~recommendation~~ appointment of S. Murisami Boddappa and Ganesha as gumastha Archaks to work by rotation in alternate years

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes, requisitions etc not intended for permanent record]





N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rev 3 File No. | of 1932-3

Serial No.

Letter no C 956/31-32 dt 16.9.32 from the Muzrai Commissioner forwarding copy of his letter of the same date to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District regarding the action to be taken on matters pertaining to Sri Dharmaraya-Swami Temple Ulsoor Bangalore city.

(1) Attention is invited to para 2 of the enclosure to the P.W.D. wherein the M.C. has suggested to the D.C. Bangalore the desirability of selecting a young man belonging to neither of these parties & appointing him after some training to the archak work of Sri Dharmaraya Swamy Temple. It is said that this does not form part of the order issued in this office memo no 1666/Rev 323-29 dt 18/5/'32. Vide also the Minute of the First Member thereon in paras 29 & 30 of the above Collection.

an unexceptionable man may or may not belong to either party. The DC has probably taken this consideration to prevent misunderstanding between the parties. [illegible]

(2) In para 5 of the petn dt 30/7/32 from Messrs V. Munikrishnaiah & others of the Thigalar Community, it is prayed that an archak not belonging to either party may be appointed in place of the one complained against. On this petition no specific orders

A.No 2 3-3) (illegible)

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

OFFICE
FIRST
21 OCT. 1932
24 Dy

on the reply in [illegible] of the Petn have been [illegible] the reply copy of the Govt Order impugned was already issued was read. In these circumstances it is for orders whether the M C may be requested to strictly conform to the orders viz Care should be taken in selecting a person for appointment as Arbitrator to see that the choice falls on one whose nomination is unexceptionable in every respect. Vide para 30 of the minute in Proc 323-29 the instructions to the D.C. are submitted for perusal. The report promised by the M.C. may be awaited.

Does not seem the necessary

24/9

Yours
24/9/32

20.10.32

22/10/32

General and Revenue Secretariat | Muz 3 File No. 224 of 1932

Serial No.	
1	Letter No 456/31-32 dt 1.4.1933 from the Muzrai Commissioner stating that the Karaga festival of Sri Dharmaraya Swamy temple Ulsoor Bangalore city is fixed for the 10th instant and requesting approval for reasons set forth therein to the proposals of the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore as to viz that the arrangement made for carrying Karaga & Archana in the temple during 31-32 may be allowed to continue this year also. b) He has promised to take steps to select a suitable candidate from the Vanhikula people of some place other than Bangalore city for filling up the archak's office permanently before the next years Karaga festival. In the circumstances explained by the D.C & the H.C. a draft sanctioning the said proposals is submitted for approval or such orders as may be passed. G.S. 5/4 Although orders re changing the Archak Ganachari Munisamy were communicated to the M.E. so far back as 13.5.32 Mg (nearly 10 months ago

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

File No. 224 of 1932 | General and Revenue Secretariat

A report is made now at the last moment (Kumbha is to take place on the 10th inst.) that it was not possible to make any selection from among the Candidates available in B'lore & that steps will be taken to select a suitable Candidate well before the next year's kumbha. The Mg. Trustee requests that the existing arrangement may be allowed to stand this year. There is no other alternative now but to accept the Mg's recommn. Draft ~~postcard~~ submitted for approval.

5/4/33

First Member)

8) mmc/e 6/4/33

9) [illegible] 6/4

No 1408 dy 6.4.33 to the Muzrie Commissioner requesting him to take prompt steps to select a suitable hereditary poojari of the temple referred to therein.

Petition of the trustees Gowda Venkataramanappa & other Thigalars of Hoskote praying that Karaga a canying of Sri Dharmaraya swamy temple Ulsoorpet

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER
6 APR. 1933
No. G.S.L.4.8

Serial No.

Bangalore city be permanently given to one of Ganachari Devaru who has been carrying the Ranga for more than ten years past.

10) 97 applications in prescribed form forwarded by M.C. for disposal. In so doing, he will at the same time be asked to state in what stage the ~~proposed~~ action directed to be taken in this Office letter No 1408 dy 6/4/33 re: the selection of a suitable pujari in the temple stands.

(13) Arrangements made & issue separately. 23/5

11) Obs: noted
GV
19/5.

(12) Petn may be sent to M.C. for disposal.
23/5/33
Secretary)

(14)
13/6
13/6

15) Memo of forwarding put up on the P.U.C. is for their Signature —

16) Obs: of the D - o remainder regd in para 13 is also subs —
GV
14/6.

17)
14/6

Memo no 1782 dy 15/6/33 to the Municipal Commissioner forwarding the petitioners original for disposal —

Note:—Contn. Sheet.

Remarks 1785 dt 15/6/33 to the Mysore Commissioner calling for a report regd to Thiruvenkatappa

Petition of Sri Rama Kande Gowda, Yellappa & others of Thigalar Community Bodhallapura Bangalore District praying that Kanaga Carrying and the archakship in Sri Shanmaryaswamie temple - Ulsoorpet Bangalore City be continued in the family of Ganachari -

Vide last para of remarks No 1666/Mysore 323-29-9 dt 13/5/32 - As the present Ganachari is not considered fit enough to be continued as the archak of the temple, the M-C has been asked to take necessary action to select a suitable person for the same - Further reminder is invited by reference of this office letter No 1408 dt 6/4/33 containing observations of the Hon'ble First Member of Council (in the file) in regard to the delay that has arisen in the selection of a suitable archak - A second reminder has since been issued & no report has yet been received

The P.W.D is but a prayer for the continuance of the archak ship both in Ganachari & the members of his family.

15/6

* B -

* J.

Serial No.

d is put up for perusal.

1) In view of the proposal action
directed to be taken (1st report 2 of letter
No. 140-D of 6/4/33) - question of D.O. renewal
by H.C. is submitted for

6/7
28/6

2.0) As the last D.O. reminder was issued
only on 15/6/33, the file may be submitted
again in the middle of July if no report
is received by then

Reed
28/6/33

Yes
28/6

for I.G. 12/7/33

Petition addressed to the Dewan and
received on 27/6/33 from residents of
Arekal of Thigalar Community praying
that the present Man Carrying Manager
of Sri Dharmaraya Swami Temple
Ulsoor Bangalore City may be
kept on for reasons set forth therein

2) This is about the same subject as in the
two previous petn received from the members of Thigalar
Community & not belonging. Vide the file & orders
thereon. (paras 18 to 21 supra). The H.C. has
already been asked to select an agent for the
Sri Dharmaraya Swami Temple who is unexceptionable
in every respect. Action to issue a D.O. reminder
as in para 20 supra be taken. — Put up for perusal also

Instructions
6/7

23) 6/7

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

0246 —GPB—15,000—22-8-32

File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

D-o recd under R. proceedings Sub Div Reg-para 19 & 21 & pm

18/7

18 JUL. 193.
GEN. & REV. SECT.

18 D o No 81 d 19-7-33 to the Mysore Commissioner enquiring when the report called for may be expected here.

Letter no 956/31-32 d 15-9-33 from the Mysore Commissioner stating that Munisami Bodappa from the Munivenkatappas park and Ganesha from Mr Yerappa Gowdas park have been appointed to officiate as archaks by rotation in alternate years commencing from 1st Sept 1933 in Sri Dharmaraya Swamy temple Dalsoorpet Bangalore city and suggesting that arrangement made by the S-D-O. may be approved.

The Institution in question is a major one and the appointment of an archak rests with the D.C. & not with the S-D-O (Vide Rule 4(7) of rules issued under the Mysy Regn no VII of 1927). That the S-D-O appointed Munisami Bodappa from Mr Munivenkatappas park and Ganesha from Yerappa Gowdas park is clear from the D.C's letter d 7-9-33. It is a matter for consideration whether

Re: Selection of an archak for Sri Dharmaraja a Swamy Temple at Ulsoor [illegible] Bangalore city

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

the S. W-O was in order in making these appointments -

2) Further the orders issued in the last para of the memo no 1666 / Mggt 323-29-9 of 13.5.32 re: the selection of an archak for the temple are clear enough. The Amildar in para 3 of his order no 172/32-33 of 16.7.33 says that attempts were made to find a man who is agreeable to both parties concerned - It is not clear how the Amildar is competent to issue an order in the face of the Govt orders

2B) The words "agreeable to both parties" do not find a place in the then First Member's order of 13-5-32 Instead of selecting one individual for the post, two have been proposed to work in alternate years. This is a deviation from the orders

2C) Further the then M.C. (The present Senior Member of council) in his letter of 16-9-32 had suggested the desirability of selecting a young man belonging to neither of these parties & appointing him after some training. It is said that the action taken by the Amildar the S. W-O. is far from this suggestion & in fact is opposed to the suggestion made by the then M.C.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 0246 —GPB—15,000—22-8-32

File No. of 1933 | General and Revenue Secretariat

In this kind ref^ce is invited to the S.D.O's report No B2-1221/31-32 ×E of 23/3/33 in which he refers to the unsuccessful party headed by S. Munivenkatabba, Munithimmabba Chinnathayabba Etc. As seen from the decision given Mag Appl 13 of 1931-32 × B. The unsuccessfulness is attributable to their being of Kudike descent. In the P.U.D it is stated that Munisami Bodabba is from the munivenkatabba's party. In the face of the decision given in the abbl Case ref^d to above whether the selection from the Munivenkatabba's party is acceptable and whether it is not contrary to the decision given is one for consideration.

Further it has been held in a recent case that rotational arrangement for the performance of duties of the archakship of a temple is inconvenient and inadmissible & cannot be allowed except where it is a long established custom. (Vide Mag Appl No 21 of 1931-32) × Mag In this particular case there have been no two separate archaks doing duty from a very long time

Archakship in Sri Dharmaraja Swamis temple – Ulsoorpet- Bangalore City [App F III-2/2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 1933

Serial No.

In this View the rotational System proposed by the S-D-O & Suggested by the M-C- is, it is submd, not altogether acceptable. In these Circumstances it is for orders whether the M-C- may be asked to continue the arrangement that existed in 32-33 until a Selection of one Single archak strictly in accordance with the Directions given in the Govt Order of 13/5/32 is made with the remuneration to be paid to him.

B-

2/10

11

32) When there are two contending parties and both are equally strong it is not always possible in practice to find one man to satisfy both parties- In the circumstances explained by the M-C- the arrangement recommended by him may be approved.

P.U.O.

15/10/33

Office of the Second Member of Council
No. 2332

Secretary) 33) The M C's recommendation may be approved.

2nd Member) 20 10 33 21/10

I agree

21/10

North—Contn. Sheet

WD 70—GBPM—00—10-8-

13

File No. 224 of 1932 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Put up clean copy as revised please

31/10

For perusal & signature

1/11/33

1.11.33

1/11/33

C.O. No 613-4 dt 6.11.33 approving 4 of the arrangements recommended by the Muzrai Commissioner for the archana etc in Sri Dharmaraya Swami Temple Richmond Bangalore City.

B ✓ 22/11

14

6-9-1932.

The

Financial Department.

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

I am directed to invite reference to Memo No. 1666/Muz. 323-29-9 dated 13th May 1932 forwarding for necessary action copy of decision in Muzrai Appeal 13 of 31-32 regarding the archakship of Sri Dharmaraya - Swamy temple Ulsoorpet Bangalore and carrying the Karaga and to request you to kindly report early the action taken on the several points raised therein.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Financial Secretary to Government.

TD

Appendix F C—11

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

eneral and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 7. 9. 1932

W. B. Mudduki Appuhamy

Signed by .....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Section Mun 3

File No.

Serial No.

No. 384 / Mun 3

To

The M.C

Sir,

I am directed ~~to~~ to invite ref^e to Mem^o No. 666/ Mun 3 23-29-9 9 dated 18/8/32 forwarding for necessary action ~~on the~~ ~~date~~ Copy of decision in Mun 1/8/31 regarding the encadastration of Dhammaraja Swamy temple Ulsoor Bungalow ~~Kandy~~ the Kovil carrying land and to request you to ~~send~~ ~~Action for information~~

~~Yesterday~~ last week
I reported at length on several
points raised therein.

[illegible]

5/9

For see below
& oblige

[illegible]
9/9

5

17

19 SEP
No

J. C. 13]

No. C 956/31-32
1
one

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 16th September 1932. 19/9

FROM S. P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~SRINIVASAYYANGAR, Esq., M.A., B.L.,~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

GENL. & REV. SECTT.
345
20. SEP. 1932.
MUZRAI.

S. Shamanna, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
Financial *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.384 Muz. dated 7th instant requesting early submission of the report on the action taken in th case of the Sri Dharmarayaswami temple in accordance with the ----- directions of Government contained in their memo No.1666/Muz.323-29 dated 13th May 1932, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of my letter to the Deputy Commissioner in the matter and to state tha the further report called for will be submitted on receipt of ----- detailed information from the Deputy Commissioner.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

18

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

No.

Dated, Bangalore, 10th September 193 2

FROM C. R. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. C3-3105/30-31 dated 26-8-32 reporting that the Sub Division Officer had, after local enquiry, appointed Ganachari Munisamappa, as Archak of Sri Dharmarayaswami temple, before the Government passed orders in Appeal No.13/31-32, that this appointment has satisfied the Hindu community in general and the Thigalar community in particular and as such the arrangement may be left undisturbed I have the honor to state that Government in para 3 of their endo No. 1666/Muz.323-29-9 dated 13-8-32 have directed that care should be taken in selecting a person for appointment as Archak, to see that the choice falls on one whose nomination is unexceptionable in every respect, and have indicated specifically that Ganachari Munisamappa is not fit to be continued in the Archakship. In the face of this definite and positive order of Government, it is not easily understandable why his name has still been recommended. Excepting the statement of the Sub Division Officer in his Memo dated 30-7-32 that the disturbance of the present arrangement will unsettle the affairs of the temple and will give rise to unnecessary commotion there, is nothing to show that any attempt was made to give effect to the Government Order in question. That his appointment has satisfied the Thigalar community does not also seem to be borne out vide petition presented to this office from certain devotees protesting against the continuance of Ganachari.

2. Early action should be taken to execute the orders of Government in this respect as also on the suggestions contained in para 2 of the Government Memo referred to above. I would suggest the desirability of selecting a young man belonging to neither of these parties and appointing him after some training.

3. The entire records are herewith returned for necessary action on both the points and a very early report as Government are pressing for the report.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- C. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI,

Kuzrai Commissioner.

"COPY"

For Kuzrai Commissioner

M C. 13]

No. 956/31-32 (20

Enc 7 Files & One Book

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 1st April 1933

Mus. Dpt. A-32-1.

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ,. M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Immediate

To

S. Shamanna, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
Financial Department.

SIR,

(Refd in the letter)

With reference to your memo No.1666/Muz.323-29-9 dated 15th May 1932, forwarding for necessary action copy of decision in ---- Muzrai Appl. of 13/31-32 re archakship of Sri Dharmarayaswamy --- temple, Ulsoorpet, Bangalore City, I have the honour to forward -- herewith copy of Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C.3-3105/30-31 dated 29th March 1933, stating that it was not possible to make any selection for the archak's post from among the ------ candidates available in Bangalore City as they all belong to one party or the other and that all possible attempts made to bring about a compromise between the parties failed and recommending -- that the existing arrangement might be allowed to stand this year also as it is too late to make any fresh selection now. I request that the Deputy Commissioner's proposals may kindly be approved in the circumstances explained. Steps will be taken to select a -- suitable candidate from the 'Vanhikula' people of some place other than Bangalore City for filling up the archak's office permanently well before the next year's Karaga festival.

The connected records and copy of Sub Division Officer's - report dated 23rd March 1933 received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter are herewith enclosed. Early approval is solicited as the

Karaga

P.T.O.

Karaga festival is fixed for the 10th instant.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.C3-3105/30-31 dated 29th March 1933 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore. 22

With reference to correspondence ending with your docket No.956/31-32 dated 23rd March 1933, in regard to the selection of a candidate for the -- poojariship of the Sri Dharmarayaswami temple in Ulsoorpet, Bangalore City, I have the honour to state that definite instructions had been issued to the Sub Division Officer, Bangalore Sub Division in this office Memo No.C3-3105/30-31 dated 29th September 1932, to select a suitable candidate as per instructions contained in Government Order No.Appl.13/31-32 and to intimate the fact to this office. The Sub Division Officer now reports in his letter No.B2-1221/31-32 dated 23rd March 1933 (copy enclosed) that it was not possible to make any selection from among the candidates available in Bangalore City as they belong to one party or the other and that all possible attempts made to bring about a compromise between the parties failed and suggests that as the Karaga festival is fast approaching and a final selection cannot be made now, the arrangement made last year may be allowed to continue this year also and a candidate from the 'Vanhikula' people of some place other than Bangalore City who possesses the requisite qualifications may be selected later on to fill up the office permanently.

There is some force in what the Sub Division Officer says and I therefore suggest that the present arrangement might be allowed to stand this year also as it is too late to make any fresh selection now. Arrangements will be made to settle the question finally after the Karaga festival of this year is over.

The entire file of records is enclosed herewith for your reference

"C o p y"

For Muzral Commissioner.

Letter No.B2-1321/31-32 dated the 23rd March 1933, from the Sub Division Officer, Bangalore Sub Division, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

With reference to your No.C3-3105/30-31 dated 28th September 1932 re the archakship of Sri Dharmarayaswami temple at Ulsoorpet, Bangalore City, I have the honour to submit herewith the entire records ending with the Bangalore taluk Amildar's report No.Muz.172 of 32-33 dated 1st March 1933 and to state that the enquiry was conducted by the Amildar as per instructions given by me. I also convened a general meeting in the temple on the 6th February 1933 with the Amildar and enquired into this case in detail before all the parties and the Dharmadarsis. As the things now stand two other minor parties sprouted out of these prominent parties. The successful party in the Muzrai appeal No.34 of 30-31 before the Muzrai Commissioner headed by Munisamappa and Errappa Gowda want that either the present archak Ganachari Munisami should be continued as Pujari or some of their partisans named by them may be put in charge. The unsuccessful party --- headed by S. Munivenkatappa, Munithanappa, Chinnathayappa state in their statement dated 26th February 1933 before the Amildar that they are not prepared to select anybody in their behalf as the persons selected by them will not be approved of by the successful party, and want that as the puja was not in their hands last year, the puja turn may be given over to their party during the current year and to have the puja performed by the some persons who did it at the time when they were in charge of the temple.

It is thus seen that the persons selected by one party will not be approved of by the other party and from my enquiry it is ascertained that all the persons of Thigalar Community in Bangalore are not free from the taint of party spirit and they are found to be interested in one party or the other as reported by the Amildar. This is but natural. It is therefore not possible to find out a person who belongs to neither of these --- parties in Bangalore City and who would possess the required qualifications i.e, being a descendant of the family of Vanhipurana and born to a legitimate wife.

Further I agree with the Amildar that a person with the qualification may be selected from this community residing out of Bangalore City.

As the Karga festival is fast approaching I suggest the --- ceremony for this year may be conducted on the same line as was done during last year.

As regards the submission of the report re the income and expenditure of this temple, I beg to state that it will be submitted as soon as

is received from the Amildar.

The entire 7 files of records and the meeting proceedings book are enclosed.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Municipal (26

DRAFT. 14 of

§ 6-4-33

Muz 224-32-2

To Encls 2 files & one book sent on 10/4/33.

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

S i r,

With reference to your letter No.956/31-32 dated 1st April 1933, regarding the Archakship of Sree Dharmarayaswami Temple, Hosapet Bangalore dt, I am directed to state that, in the circumstances stated by you, your proposal to permit t h e existing arrangement to be followed on the occasion of the coming Karaga, is approved.

I am, however, to observe that it is nearly ~~six~~ a year since the orders of Government in this matter were passed and ^ Mal- arrangements should have been made to give effect to them by now. Government trust that prompt action will be taken soon after the coming Karaga festival is over, to select a suitable person for the place of the Pujari of the Temple and to prevent the party spirit among the members of the Thigalar community from doing any injury to the interests of this Institution.

I have, etc.,

Mule
G (24/33

6/4 Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

Immediate
14 of
Muz 224-32-2

27

6th April 33

The

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No. 956/31-32, dated 1st April 1933, regarding the Archakship of Sree Dharmarayaswami Temple, Ulsoor Bangalore city, I am directed to state that, in the circumstances stated by you, your proposal to permit the existing arrangement to be followed on the occasion of the coming Karaga, is approved.

I am, however, to observe that it is nearly a year since the orders of Government in this matter were passed and that arrangements should have been made to give effect to them by now. Government trust that prompt action will be taken soon after the coming Karaga festival is over, to select a suitable person for the place of the Pujari of the Temple and to prevent the party spirit among the members of the Thigalar community from doing any injury to the interests of this Institution.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Financial Secretary to
Government.

TRM.2

GENL & PEV Sec
1446
26. JUN. 1933
MIZO

No .....

24.6.33
2722

దేవదేవరువు —

ఎలాంటివారినా లక్ష్యపెట్టనివరము —

ఎగురుచున్నాంజెప్పుచున్నాడు —
ఇయ్యకొడుకు —
కాదుపనికిమాలినవాడు —
ఆశీర్వదించినవారిని —
ఎప్పుడియ్యనివరము —
మిక్కిలిమోసగాడు —
ఈగలకన్నా నరసులంయమ్మలారా —

ఎగురుచున్నానాకొడుకు —

ఎగురుచున్నానంజెప్పుచున్నాడు —


ఎలాగులేదనకొడుకుకాదెల్లాకి —

ఎలాగులేదనకొడుకుకాదెల్లాకి —


ఎలాగులేదనకొడుకుకాదెల్లాకి —

ఎలాగులేదనవాడునాయప్తెల్లాకి —


ఎలాగులేదనవాడుచిదాకాదెల్లాకి —

ఆండనట్టివేరువు —

ఎలాగులేదనబోలేవాళ్ళుగాలప్తెల్లాకి —





1. [illegible]
(3)

2. [illegible]
[illegible]

3. [illegible]
[illegible]

4. [illegible]
[illegible]

విశాఖపట్నము జిల్లా

5. [illegible]
[illegible]



6. [illegible]
[illegible]

ముగ్గురు ప్లీడర్లు
గోపాలపట్నము
న్యాయవాదులు



7. [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]



8. [illegible]
[illegible]

కె. జె. కే. నంబూద్రిపాద్ (ప్లీడరు)
కాదేపల్లి ప్లీడరు

FARY
No...

28.6.33
2738

GENL. & REV. SECT.
14 28
30. JUN. 1933
MUZRAI.

యం. మునిసామిరావు
శర్మ
గుంటూరు.

ఇ ఇబ్బొటనవేలు

ఉయిడకచేతియిబ్బొటనకుంటివేలు

ఇచూపుడువేలు

ఉమధ్యమవేలు

ఉచిటికెనవేలు

ఇమణికట్టు

ఇచూపుడుపైకుంటివేలు

ఉమధ్యమవేలుకొనగోటిపైవేలిముద్ర

ఇమధ్యమవేలుకొనగోటిపైవేలిముద్ర

ఉంగుష్ఠమునకు కుడివైపుక్రిందిభాగము

ఇచూపుడువేలుమధ్యగీతముద్ర

ఇంటిపక్కింటిగోడయొక్కపైభాగపు
నేలగోడకుఅంటినముద్ర

ఇంటిపడమటగోడయొక్కపైభాగపు
కుడిగోమునిసామిపై

ఇమధ్యమవేలుకొనగోటిపైవేలిముద్ర

ఇబ్రొటనవేలుమధ్యగీతముద్ర

ఇమధ్యమవేలుకొనగోటిపైవేలిముద్ర

ಪೋಷ್ಯಪೇ ಇಕುತ್ರೆ

ವಿದ್ಯಾಮಾನಗಳ್ಳು ಕೂರೀತಿ ಕಣಿಕಿಂಟ್ಟಿದ
ರಿಂದ ಹಿಂದೆ ಬಿಂಗಡಗಳ್ಳ ಜಾಡ್ಯತಲಿದೀರದಿ
ಇಪ್ಪಂಬಲಪಲ್ಲ ಎಂದರೆ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತುವರ್ಷಗಳ್ಳಿಂದೆ
ಎಲ್ಲಕೂ ವಿಕ್ಕಾಭವಿನಿ ನೇಮಿಂನಿಕುವಂಗಿಗ್ಗಳು
ಅನಾದಿಂಮಿಂದು ಬಂಗ್ಗಗುರುತರವಾದವೀಟಿಕ್ಕೆ
ಕ್ಕಾತಿಪನಗಾಂಡಾರಿ ಹುಂತತಿರುವನಾಂ ಆದುಕ್ಕೆಪೇ
ವಿಜಪಿಸೋ, ನಿಂಬುಮು, ನಿಷ್ಪೆಂಮಿಂಂ ಕ್ಕಾಮಿಕಾರ್ಕ್ಕ
ದುಂದರತಿಂಮು ಳ್ಳಗಜ್ಞೋ ಜ್ಞಾರಿ ವಮನಿಕಾವೆ
ನಸ್ಯಕಾಳಗ ಹ್ರೀರು ವ್ಯಕೆಲಪ್ಪದಿಂದ ಹನಗಕೂರಿದ
ವೆಂಮತಿಪ್ಪಿನಿ ತ್ರಿಕೆಲಪಕ್ಕೆ, ಉಮೇದುಪಾರಕನ್ನಹಾರಿ
ಹುಶ್ಕಿರುವಂತೆ ತಿಳ್ಳಿದು ಬಂದಿರುತ್ತೆಂಯಾಗಿ ದುಡ್ಡಿ
ನಳ್ಳವರು ಪಾಟ್ಯಾಶೀ ಅಂಗಿಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ್ಯಕೊಂಡಪಿಟ್ಟ
ಕೆರಂನ ಸ್ರೀರುವವನನ್ನಿಕವಿಸಬಿ ಲಿತನಿಗ್ಗೆನಿಪ್ಪ
ವುಂದೆಂಯಾಂ ತ್ರಿಕೆಲಪವನ್ನ ಪಾಂಸುವ್ರಾಜನಗೆ ಕಾಲ
ಕ್ರಮೇಣಾ ಹಾಲಿಗಳ್ಳನ್ನ ಯಿಂಡಿನಿಕಾಮನು ಭೂಕಿ
ಯನ್ನ ತೋಳಿನಿಬಂಕೆ ಲಿಸುತ್ತಿಂತನಾವ್ಪಭವಸೆಂಯನ್ನ
ಕೊಂಡುತ್ತೀವೆ ಇಪಕ್ಕೆ ಮೇಲ್ಪಲ್ಲ ಮಾವಂದರವಳು
ಕಷೆಪ್ರತಿಕಷೆಗಳು ಇಂಾಪಿಂಮಿಂತೆ ಕೃಷ್ಣಂಮಾ
ರುವ್ರದಾಡುತೆ ತೆಂಬಾ ಹ್ರೀರಾಟವಗಳು
ಕೆಂಟಿಳ್ಳಾಂಗಿ ಇನಗ್ಗಾ ಹತ್ತಾರು ಹಾ
ಪ್ರಡಕ್ಕೆ ಕೃಲಿದುಂಬಿನಿಪಿಂತೆ
ನಿ ಮಾಂಮಿ ಮಿತ್ತೀಗಾನು ಲಿಗಲಾ
ಅತುನಂತೆಂಯಿಂಗ್ಗ ಮಿಂಪಿಂಯ
ಬಿಕುಪಂತೆ ಮಾಡಬೀಕೆಂಪ
ದಳ್ಳಾಪ್ಪತೆಗ್ಗಾ ಹೂಪೆಕ್ಕಮುನವಿ
ಮುಡಾಕ್ಷ್ಯಾವಿಲಾ

ಬಾಯಿಕೆಸ್ಪಾಯಿಪಿಡಿಬ್ಬಿನರುಬ್ಬುವ್ಯಾ

ಪ್ರಭು ——

ಬಾಯಾಸಬಗ್ಗಳ್ಳಮ-ಪಿಬ್ಬಿನಿಪೊಡುಬೆಲ್ಲು

ಪೆಬ್ಬಾಂಗೆಂಡುಚ್ಚಯ್ಯನಗ

ಮೇಡ್ಯಮೇ ಇಟು ತೈ

ವಿದ್ಯಮಾನಗಳು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಕಣ್ಣಿಗೆ ಬೀಳುವುದಕ್ಕಿಂದ ಹಿಂದೆ ಬಂಗಡಗಳ ಚಾಡ್ಯತಲಿದೆಗಳು ಇಪ್ಪದೊಲಡಳ್ಳ ಎಂದರೆ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಹಿಂದೆ ಎಲ್ಲೆಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತವಿನಿ ನೈಎಂನಿಲುವಂತೀಗ್ಗಳೂ ಅನಾದಿಯಿಂಬಂಡು ಬಾಗುತರವಾದ ಪೀಠಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊಲಿದ ಗಣಿಗಾರಿ ಪಂತರಿಂಬುವನು ಆಡಕ್ಕೀವೆಲ ವಿಷಯಿಗೆ, ನಿಂಬುವು, ನಿಷ್ಪ್ರಯೋಜಕ ಸ್ಥಾವಿಕಾರ್ಥ ದುಃಖದರತೆಯಂಬು ಶ್ಲಾಘನೆಯಾಗಿ ಪವಿತ್ರ ನಿಶಾವಿಂತ ನಸ್ಯಕಾಲಗ ಶ್ರೀಲುವ್ಯಕೆಲಕ್ಷಣದಿಂದ ಹನಕಾರ್ತಿರಚ ವೆಲುತಪ್ಪಿನಿ ತ್ರಿಕೆಲಕನ್ನೆ ಉಮೇದುವಾರರನ್ನಹಾರಿ ಶುಶ್ರೀಲುವಂತೆ ತಿಳ್ಳದು ಬಂದಿರುತ್ತೆಂಬಾಗಿ ದುಡಾಕ್ಕಿಂಬು ಗಳ್ಳರತಲು ಪಾಟ್ಯಶ್ರೀ ಅಂಗಿಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಂಡಡಿಕ್ಕುನ ಕೆಲಗ ಶ್ರೀಲುವವನನ್ನು ತಲಪ್ಪಿಬಡೆ ಲಿತನಿಗೆ ನೆನ್ನಿಸ್ಸ ವುಂದೆಂಬುವಾ ತ್ರಿಕೆಲಕವನ್ನ ಪಾಟಿನುವ್ರಾದಡೆ ಕಾಂ ಕ್ರಮೇಣಾ ಹುಟ್ಟಿಗಳನ್ನ ಲುಂಡಿನಿಗಾನು ಭೂಃ ಬುನ್ನ ತೋಳಿಬಂತೆ ಲಿನುತ್ತೆಂತನಾಪ್ಪ ಭರವಸೆಯುನ್ನ ಕೆಣಡುತ್ತೀವೆ ಇಪಕ್ಕೆ ಮೇಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಮಾವಂದರವಳು ತಪ್ಪಿಪ್ರತಿತಪ್ಪಿಗಳ್ಳ ಇಶಾಪಿಂಬುಂತೆ ಶ್ರೀಕುಂಬಾಚಾರ್ಯ ದುವ್ರಾದಡೆ ತುಂಬಾ ಶ್ರೀಲಾಚಗಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಕೆಂಟ್ಟಿಂತಾಗಿ ಇನ್ನಾ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಪಾಟ್ಯಗಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರವೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಶ್ರೀಲುಂಬಿನಿಪಂತೆ ಲಿನುತ್ತೆಂತೀ ನಿವಾಂಬವುತ್ತೀನು ಲಿನಲಾರಭ್ಯರಿಂದ ಜಾತ ಅಲುವಂತೆಂತೀ ವುಂಡೆಂಬುವಾ ನಡೆದು ಕೀರಾಡು ಬಿಲುವಂತೆ ವಿಸಾದ ಭೀರೆಂದು ದಿವ್ಯನನ್ನಾ ಪಲ್ಲವ ತೆನ್ನು ಪಾವೇ ವುನವಿವುಂಡಿನಿ ಕೈಕ್ಕೆಯೀ ವುಡಾಡಿಸಿ

ಯು ಯುಕೆನ್ನಿಯಿಜಿಬ್ಬಿನಲುಬೆಲುಂಯುಜವಾರ್ಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ಪೆನಿಸುತ್ತಾಕಿ ಪ್ಪು ——

ಯುಯುತೆನ್ನಿಯುಜಿಬ್ಬಿನಡುಜುಲುಲುನಕೆಲೆನಾಕ ಪಾಲಂಜಿನೆಲೆಲ್ಲಾಕಿ ಪ್ಪು

ಶ್ರೀಭಾಂಗೌಡುಬ್ಬಪ್ಪನಾರು ಪ್ಪು

M C. 13]

No. 956/21 32-33

OFFICE OF MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

GEN. REF. 324 16 SEP. 1933

Dated, Bangalore, 15th September 1933.

FROM

V. Madhava Rao, Esq., I.C.S.,
~~SVHIKRANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

N. Raghavachar, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
Finance Secretariat *Department.*

SIR,

Adverting to your memo No.1000/ dz.336-36-1 dated 13-9-33 calling for a report of action taken about the selection of an archak to the Sri Dharmarayaswami temple at Ulsoorpet, Bangalore City, I have the honor to forward herewith copies of Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's letter No.33.3105/ 36-37 dated 7-9-33 and Sub Division Officer's (ruer dated 25-8-33, from which it will be seen that two candidates, viz., Munisami Pouappa from Mr. Munivenkatappa's party and Ganesa from Mr. Venkatappa Gowda's party, have been appointed to officiate as archaks in rotation in alternate years commencing from 1st September 1933. As the Sub Division officer states that all attempts to find a single man who is agreeable to both parties proved futile and there seems to be no better solution of the question, I suggest that the arrangement made by the Sub Division Officer may kindly be approved.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

V. Madhava Rao
Muzrai Commissioner.

ns

యం. మునిసామిరావ్
శర్మ
36 గొల్లపల్లి

ఉయిలరైటరుబ్బిపేర్లనరంబ్బుపై మొదటిపేజీనలుఆఖరిదాకా —

ఇరుబ్బురాంపేర్లియలుఆఖరిదాకా —

ఉయిరుశెనగాయియం గలపేజీనవరులుఆఖరిదాకా —

ఉయిరుశెనగాయిబ్బిపేర్లనుముద్రలనందమ. నవరులుఆఖరిదాకా —

ఇరుబుశెనగపేరునిచ్చేనవరులుఆఖరిదాకా —

ఇరుబుపేరుంబప్పేనవరులుఆఖరిదాకా —

ఉయిరుశెనగవేరుశెనలప్పేనవరులుఆఖరిదాకా —

ఇరుబుకుమొరంబట్టేనుఆఖరిదాకా —

ఉయిరుబుశెనగపిండిపాలప్పేనుఆఖరిదాకా —

ఇరుబుశెనగాయిలంనత్తిగుంబ్బేనవరులుఆఖరిదాకా —

ఇరుబుడ్డెగానిపు జెట్టుగ
డ్డెగానిపుబుబ్బే

ఇరుబుడ్డెగానిపు జెట్టుగబుబ్బి
గానిపుని నామ

ఇరుబుశెనగాతొలత్తి గాంబుబుబ్బుఆఖరిదాకా —

ఇరుబుశెనగపుసగాంబుబ్బేనుఆఖరిదాకా —

ఇరుబుబొంబేసనయబ్బేనుఆఖరిదాకా —

M C. 13]

No. 956/21-32

37

GEN. SEC. 324 16 SEP. 1933 MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 15th September 1933.

FROM

Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

N. Raghavachar, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Finance and Revenue Department.

SIR,

Adverting to your memo No. 1666/ dg. 630-33-1 dated 15-9-33 calling for a report of action taken about the selection of an archak to the Sri Dharmarayaswami temple at Bisoorpet, Bangalore City, I have the honor to forward herewith copies of Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 33. 3165/ 33-34 dated 7-9-33 and Sub Division Officer's (ruer dated 25-8-33, from which it will be seen that two candidates, viz., Munisami Boudya from Mr. Munivenkatappa's party and Ganesh from Mr. Venkatappa Gowda's party, have been appointed to officiate as archak in rotation in alternate years commencing from 1st September 1933. As the Sub Division Officer states that all attempts to find a single man who is agreeable to both parties proved futile and there seems to be no better solution of the question, I suggest that the arrangement made by the Sub Division Officer may kindly be approved.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

etter No.33. 5105/30-31 dated 7.[illegible]-33 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangal
strict, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to correspondence ending with your memo No. [illegible]50/31-32 dat-
th April 1933, in the matter of the appointment of an archak to the temple
ri Dharmarayaswami at Kilsoorpet, Bangalore City, I have the honor to state
clear instructions were issued to the Sub Division Officer, Bangalore Sub
sion to select a suitable candidate in accordance with the Government Order
eir appeal case No.13 of 31-32 on this subject. The Sub Division Officer
w selected two candidates viz., Munisami Polappa from Mr. Muniyenkatappa'
and Ghanesha from Mr. Verappa Gowda's party and has appointed them to of-
e as archak by rotation as a temporary measure. (A copy of his order No.
1/31-32 dated 25th August 1933 is sent herewith). He states it is not
le to select a single candidate who is unexceptionable and acceptable to
parties and appoint him to the office.

der the circumstances stated by the Sub Division Officer no better po
olution than what has been adopted by him is possible.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissior

Ref:- Taluk Amildar's No.Muz.172/32-33 dated 16-7-33.

Order.

1 Government in their order appeal No.13 of 31-32 held that there is one in the family of the hereditary archaks qualified to function the office and observed that some one who is considered competent to officiate as pujari and carry the karga may be appointed.

2 Government have further observed that one Kanchari Muniswamappa who has been temporary incumbent in the office of archak is not fit to be continued in it as there have been complaints against him and some one would be appointed whose nomination is unexceptionable in every respect.

3 All attempts were made to find a man who is agreeable to both parties concerned but to no purpose as one party or the other took objection to the candidate selected for the post. In the above circumstances the only solution that can be arrived at is to select two candidates and appoint them to perform the function by rotation each for one year so that both parties may have no objection.

4 One Muniswamy Podappa who has been nominated by one party and approved by the dharmadarsis and has been recommended by the Amildar has been appointed as gumasta archak to perform the puja and carry karga for the current year i.e. from 1st September 1933 to 31st August 1934 and one Ganesappa nominated by the other party will take his place as gumasta archik for twelve months from 1st September 1934 and perform the puja and carry karga. This arrangement will continue by rotation, each party performing the function yearly by turn. The archiks appointed will act only as gumasta and the archikship not being hereditary.

Sd/- Anwer Ali Khan,
Sub Division Officer,
Bangalore Sub Division.

"COPY"

for Huzur Commissioner.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

40

Read:-

Memo No.1666/Muz.323-29-9 dated 13th May 1932 to the Muzrai Commissioner forwarding copy of the decision in Muz.appl. -No.13 of 1931-32 and directing that a competent person be appointed to officiate as Pujari and carry the Karaga of Sri Dharmarayaswami temple-Ulsoorpet,Bangalore City.

2. Letter No.956/31-32 dated 15th September 1933 from the Muzrai Commissioner stating that it is reported that all attempts to find a single man agreeable to the two parties in the temple have proved futile and that there seems to be ~~xxx~~ no better solution of the question than that of appointing two candidates and recommending in the circumstances the acceptance of the arrangement made by the Sub Division Officer, Bangalore Sub Division and accepted by the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District viz., the appointment of Munisami Bodappa from Mr.Munivenkatappa's party and Ganesha from Mr.Yerrappa Gowda's party as Gumastha Archaks to work by rotation in alternate years, the former to perform puja and carry the - Karaga during the current year commencing from 1st September 1933.

Order No. 613-4/Muz 224-32-4

Dated,Bangalore, the 4th November 1933.

The arrangement recommended by the Muzrai Commissioner is approved.

For Financial Secretary to Govt.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Government.

mk.5

G.R.S. App. F VI—G 2]

4-1

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Misc

File No. 224-32

Serial No. 1.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 6/1/1 193

Signed by B. Muddiahrishnappa

x B.

Read— Memo no 1666/Misc 323-29-9 dt 13-5-32 forwarding to the M.C. Copy of the decision in Misc Appl no 13 of 1931-32 & directing that a Competent person be appointed to office Order thereon. ~~No~~ as Pujari and Carry the Karaga of Sri Dharmaraya Swami Temple - Ulsoor Bangalore city -

2) Letter no 956 dt 15.9.33 from the M.C. stating that

is be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

it is reported that all
attempts to find a single
man agreeable to na
tion in the Temple
have proved fruitless and
that there seems to be
no better solution of the
question than that of
appointing two Candidates
and recommending
in the circumstances
The acceptance of
the arrangement made
by the S. D.o Bangalore
Sub Divn & accepted
by the D.C. Bangalore
Viz the appointment
of Munisamie Boduppa
from Mr Munivenkatappa's
party and Ganesha
from Mr Yerrappa
Gowdas party
as Pujaris Mr
by rotation

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

R S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form*)

years, the former to perform Puja as a Canopy Karuppa during the current year Commencing from 8th Sept^r 1933.

Order No 613-4 &
6-11-33

Mg 224-32-4

the Arrangement Recommended by the M.E. is agreed —

1/11/33

To

The M.E
The Comptr —

GS

31/10
1/11

WD 2230—GPB—1 00 000—23 7 20